*(BZAP)Board of Zoning & Planning Application - Review of Variance requests for Residential and Commercial Development

BZAP-20-6

Status: Active

Submitted: Feb 13, 2020

Applicant

Ω

Brian Marzich

614-314-0260

@ brian@marzich.com

Location

796 S REMINGTON RD Bexley, OH 43209

A.1: Project Information

Brief Project Description - ALSO PROVIDE 2 HARD COPIES (INCLUDING PLANS) TO THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT.

The project is to add a two story addition to the rear of the existing structure. Also to replace a one car garage with a new two car garage.

Architecture Review Conditional Use

true -

Demolition Planned Unit Dev

true --

Rezoning Variance or Special Permit

-- true

What requires Major Architectural Review

Entire project

What requires Minor Architectural Review

--

Major Architectural Review Minor Architectural Review

true

A.1: Attorney / Agent Information

Agent Name Agent Address

Brian Marzich --

Agent EmailAgent Phonebrian@marzich.com614-314-0260

A.2: Fee Worksheet

Estimated Valuation of Project Minor Architectural Review

175000

Major Architectural Review Variance Review

true true

Variance Review Type Zoning

Single Family -

Zoning Review Type Sign Review and Architectural Review for Commercial Projects

encroaching into required setback

Review Type Appeal of ARB decision to BZAP

--

Appeal of BZAP decision to City Council

--

Conditional Use - Explain type of Use if being requested and fill out Conditional Use Criteria

--

B: Project Worksheet: Property Information

Occupancy Type Zoning District

Residential

Use Classification

R-6 (35% Building and 60% Overall)

B: Project Worksheet: Lot Info

Width (ft)40

Depth (ft)
134.75

Total Area (SF)

5390

B: Project Worksheet: Primary Structure Info

Existing Footprint (SF) Proposed Addition (SF)

1008 336

Removing (SF)

Type of Structure

-- addition

Proposed New Primary Structure or Residence (SF)

Total Square Footage

-- 1344

B: Project Worksheet: Garage and/or Accessory Structure Info (Incl. Decks, Pergolas, Etc)

18

Existing Footprint (SF) Proposed Addition (SF)

216 --

New Structure Type Ridge Height

garage

Proposed New Structure (SF) Is there a 2nd Floor

484

Total of all garage and accessory structures (SF)

484

Total building lot coverage (% of lot)

34

No

Total building lot coverage (SF)

1828

Is this replacing an existing garage and/or accessory structure?

Yes

B: Project Worksheet: Hardscape

Existing Driveway (SF)

213

Existing Private Sidewalk (SF)

240

Total Hardscape (SF)

280

Existing Patio (SF)

Proposed Additional Hardscape (SF)

173

B: Project Worksheet: Total Coverage

Total overall lot coverage (SF)

2108

Total overall lot coverage (% of lot)

39

C.1 Architectural Review Worksheet: Roofing

Roofing

true

Structure

House & Garage

New Roof Type

Existing Roof Type

Std. 3-tab Asphalt Shingle

ota: o tab / toprian orinigio

New Single Manufacturer

--

Std. 3-tab Asphalt Shingle

New Roof Style and Color

Match Exist

C.1 Architectural Review Worksheet: Windows

Windows

Structure

true

House & Garage

Existing Window Type

New Window Manufacturer

Wood

Double Hung

New Window Style/Mat./Color

Existing Window Materials

Pella

Vinyl

C.1 Architectural Review Worksheet: Doors

Doors

Structure

true House & Garage

Existing Entrance Door Type Existing Garage Door Type

Wood Wood

Door Finish Proposed Door Type

Painted Clad

Proposed Door Style Proposed Door Color

Patio white

C.1 Architectural Review Worksheet: Exterior Trim

Exterior Trim Existing Door Trim true Aluminum Clad

Proposed New Door Trim Existing Window Trim

alum clad Other

Other Existing Window Trim Proposed New Window Trim

alum clad alum

Trim Color(s)

Do the proposed changes affect the overhangs?

white No

C.2 Architectural Review Worksheet: Exterior Wall Finishes

Exterior Wall Finishes Existing Finishes

true Vinyl Siding

Existing Finishes Manufacturer, Style, Color Proposed Finishes

tan Vinyl Siding

Proposed Finishes Manufacturer, Style, Color

clapboard style to match existing

D: Tree & Public Gardens Commission Worksheet

Type of Landscape Project Landscape Architect/Designer

-

Architect/Designer Phone Architect/Designer E-mail

Project Description

I have read and understand the above criteria

Lot Type

Narrative description of how you plan to meet the pertinent outlined variance criteria

/12/2020	
Design plan with elevations (electronic copy as specified in instructions plus 1 hard copy)	Design Specifications as required in item 3 in "Review Guidelines and List of Criteria" above
Applicant has been advised that Landscape Designer/Architect must be present at meeting	
	
E.1 Variance Worksheet	
Description of the Proposed Variance. Please provide a thorough	description of the variance being sought and the reason why.
The proposed addition is required to align with the existing north vencroaches into the side yard setback.	wall of the residence. This wall is existing non-conforming as it
1. Does the property in question require a variance in order to yiel property without the variance? Please describe.	ld a reasonable return? Can there be any beneficial use of the
Yes, the addition requires the use of the existing interior stair local	ation which is against the north wall of the house.
2. Is the variance substantial? Please describe.	
No, the addition will not be worse than the existing non conforming	g structure.
3. Would the essential character of the neighborhood be substant detriment as a result of the variance? Please describe.	ially altered or would adjoining properties suffer a substantial
No, the addition will not be worse than the existing non conforming	g structure.
E.2 Variance Worksheet	
4. Would the variance adversely affect the delivery of government no	al services (e.g. water, sewer, garbage)? Please describe.
5. Did the property owner purchase the property with the knowled no	ge of zoning restriction? Please describe.
6. Can the property owner's predicament feasibly obviated throug	
No, the addition requires the use of the existing interior stair local	tion which is against the north wall of the house.
7. Is the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement observed describe.	and is substantial justice done by granting the variance? Please
F.1 Fence Variance Worksheet	

5/8

F.1-F.2 Fence Variance Worksheet: Side and Rear Yard Restrictions for Corner Lots

1. Compatibility: Describe how the proposed side yard fence or wall exceeding forty-eight inches in height and on the street side of a corner lot compatible with other properties in the neighborhood?

2. Height: Please verify that the maximum height of such fence or wall shall not exceed seventy-two inches as measured from the average grade, as defined in Section 1230.06. Artificially raising the height of the lot line by the use of mounding, retaining walls or similar means shall be included within the seventy-two inch maximum height.

3. Transparency: Fences exceeding forty-eight inches in height should include transparency in the upper 12" to 18" of the fence through the use of latticework, pickets, or other appropriate design elements. Describe how you have satisfied this requirement.

4. Screening: A landscaping plan must be filed with the application for a special permit, indicating how such fencing or wall is to be screened from the street side elevation. The landscape plan should be designed in such a way as to mitigate the impact of a solid fence or wall as it relates to the street and other properties. Describe how the landscape plan addresses these items.

5. Visibility and Safety: The installation of such fence or wall shall not create a visibility or safety concern for vehicular and/or pedestrian movement. Please describe any visibility/safety concerns with your design.

6. Material Compatibility: No chain link, wire mesh or other similar material shall be installed on lot lines adjacent to public rightsof-way. Please verify that your design complies with this requirement.

7. Finished Side: Any fence or wall erected on a lot located at the intersection of two or more streets must have the finished and not the structural side facing the adjacent property, alley or street. Please verify that your design complies with this requirement.

F.3 Fence Variance Worksheet

Front Yard Restrictions

Fences Adjacent to Commercial Districts

Require Commercial Fences Adjacent to Residential Districts

F.3 Fence Variance Worksheet: Front Yard Restrictions

The proposed decorative landscape wall or fence is compatible with other properties in the neighborhood.

The height of the fence or wall does not exceed the size permitted as above when measured from the average grade of the yard where the fence or wall is to be installed. Artificially raising the height of the lot line by the use of mounding, retaining walls or similar means shall be included in the maximum height.

Posts, columns and finials may extend up to 6" above the maximum allowed height of the fence panels. CHAPTER 1264. **FENCES AND WALLS City of Bexley Zoning Ordinance**

A landscaping plan shall be filed with the application indicating how such fencing and/ or wall is to be integrated with existing front yard landscaping.

--

The installation of such fence and/or wall shall not create a visibility or safety concern for vehicular and/or pedestrian movement.

The fence and/or wall shall have a minimum of 50% transparency.

That the lot exhibits unique characteristics that support the increase in fence height.

Is your property architecturally significant? Please attached

supporting documentation. Recomended sources include a

letter of opinion from an architect or expert with historical

No chain link, wire mesh, concrete block or other similar type

material shall be installed as a decorative landscape wall or

--

fence.

G. Demolition Worksheet

Is your property historically significant? Please attached supporting documentation. Recomended sources include ownership records, a letter from the Bexley Historical Society, etc.

No

preservation expertise.

No

If you answered "yes" to either of the above two questions, please describe any economic hardship that results from being unable to demolish the primary residence, and attach any supporting evidence.

--

If you answered "yes" to either of the above two questions, please describe any other unusual or compelling circumstances that require the demolition of the primary residence, and attach any supporting evidence.

--

I will provide a definite plan for reuse of the site, including proposed replacement structures, by completing Worksheets B & C and any other pertinent worksheets, along with required exhibits.

true

Provide a narrative time schedule for the replacement project

The project includes a new two car garage which will require the existing one car garage to be demolished

Please provide a narrative of what impact the proposed replacement project will have on the subject property and the neighborhood.

The proposed project will no negative impact on the neighborhood as 2 car garages are common to other houses and the new garage will be more slightly than the existing

Attachments (5)

pdf Architectural Details

Feb 13, 2020

pdf Exterior Elevations

Feb 13, 2020

pdf Floor Plan

Feb 13, 2020

pdf Photographs

Feb 13, 2020

pdf Site Plan

Feb 13, 2020

 -	• •	
Time	line	۱
111110	,,,,,	,

Γ	Zoning	Officer

Status: Completed February 14th 2020, 2:49 pm

Assignee: Kathy Rose

Kathy Rose February 14th 2020, 2:45:39 pm

Brian, What is the ridge height of the new 2-story addition?

Kathy Rose February 14th 2020, 2:48:54 pm

March ARB & BZAP 2020 variance from side yard setback requirement

Kathy Rose February 25th 2020, 2:14:42 pm

Brian - Fees are due!!!

Kathy Rose February 25th 2020, 3:10:55 pm

Found the check - Thank You!!

Kathy Rose March 6th 2020, 1:21:23 pm

There is a section of the house on the site plan that appears to be closer to the north property line than the proposed addition. Can you tell me if that is the case and what the distance is if it is. It could also just be referencing the soffit, so I thought I'd ask. Thanks! **Brian Marzich** March 10th 2020, 1:06:52 pm

Kathy. That is the existing eve that projects a bit further than the rakes. The new addition north wall will align with existing as to not encroach further than existing. Thanks

eı	ncroach further than existing. Thanks
	Payment Status: Paid February 25th 2020, 3:10 pm
	Brian Marzich February 13th 2020, 4:03:03 pm I will drop off hard copies and pay in person
	Design Planning Consultant Status: In Progress Assignee: Karen Bokor
	Architectural Review Board Status: In Progress
	Board of Zoning and Planning Status: In Progress
	City Council Status: In Progress
	Tree Commission Status: In Progress
	Arborist

Status: In Progress