

Application Cover Sheet: Basic Project Information & Certification

Purpose of Application (check all that apply):

- Architectural Review
 Conditional Use
 Demolition
 Planned Unit Dev.
 Rezoning
 Special Permit

Property & Project Information:

Property Address:

Brief Project Description:

Applicant Information:

Applicant Name:

Applicant Address: , ,

Applicant Email & Phone:

Property Owner Information:

Owner Name:

Owner Address: , ,

Owner Email & Phone:

Attorney/Agent Information:

Agent Name:

Agent Address: , ,

Agent Email & Phone:

- Completed Worksheets:**
 Project Worksheet (Sheet A)
 Architectural Review (Sheet B)
 Tree Commission (Sheet C)

Signatures:

The attached application package is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the City staff review of this application is dependent upon the accuracy of the information provided and that any inaccurate or inadequate information provided by me/my firm/etc. may delay review.

Applicant Signature: Digitally signed by Amy Lauerhass
Date: 2019.01.14 15:47:45 -05'00' Date:

Owner Signature: Date:

Agent Signature: Date:

Internal Use:

Application #: Board Referrals:
 ARB
 BZAP
 City Council
 Tree Commission

Staff Signature: Date:

Application Cover Sheet: Review Fee Worksheet

Estimated Valuation of Project: \$

Minor Architectural Review (Ex. Roof, window, siding)

Based upon the valuation of the project:

- \$50.00 for 1st \$10,000 valuation	\$ <input type="text"/>
- \$5.00 for each additional \$10,000 valuation.	\$ <input type="text"/>

Major Architectural Review (Ex. New Construction, Additions, Garages, Decks, Pergola)

Based upon the valuation of the project:

- \$90.00 for the 1st \$10,000 valuation	\$ <input type="text" value="90.00"/>
- \$5.00 for each additional \$10,000 valuation	\$ <input type="text" value="135.00"/>
- \$600.00 cap	\$ <input type="text"/>
- \$50.00 resubmittal fee	\$ <input type="text"/>

Variance Review

Single Family:	\$100.00	\$ <input type="text" value="100.00"/>
Commercial Property:	\$100.00	\$ <input type="text"/>
Fences or Special Permits:	\$65.00	\$ <input type="text"/>
All others:	\$90.00	\$ <input type="text"/>

Zoning Fees

Rezoning:	- \$250.00 up to 1 acre site	\$ <input type="text"/>
	- \$60.00 for each additional acre (or part thereof)	\$ <input type="text"/>
Requests for amendment to PUD Plans:	\$300.00	\$ <input type="text"/>
Split of lot or existing parcel:	\$250.00	\$ <input type="text"/>
Replatting or new plat:	\$250.00	\$ <input type="text"/>

Sign Review and Architectural Review for Commercial Properties

Project Value	Fee	
\$0 to \$5,000	\$100.00	\$ <input type="text"/>
\$5,001 to \$25,000	\$200.00	\$ <input type="text"/>
\$25,001 to \$75,000	\$250.00	\$ <input type="text"/>
\$75,001 to \$200,000	\$600.00	\$ <input type="text"/>
\$200,001 to \$750,000	\$1,000.00	\$ <input type="text"/>
Over \$750,000	\$350.00	\$ <input type="text"/>
Fences and walls:	\$65.00	\$ <input type="text"/>
Special Permit, Conditional Uses and All others:	\$90.00	\$ <input type="text"/>
Re-submittal Fee:	\$50.00	\$ <input type="text"/>

Appeals

Appeal of ARB decision to BZAP:	\$50.00	\$ <input type="text"/>
Appeal of BZAP decision to City Council:	\$250.00	\$ <input type="text"/>

Fee Total: \$

Project Worksheet

Residential

Commercial

Property Address:

742 South Cassingham Road

Zoning District:

R-6

R-1 (25% Building & 40% Overall)

R-6 (35% Building & 60% Overall)

R-2 (25% Building & 50% Overall)

R-12 (35% Building & 70% Overall)

R-3 (25% Building & 50% Overall)

Other:

** Overall coverage includes hardscape*

Lot Info:

Width (ft.):

40

Depth (ft.):

135

Total Area (SF):

5,400

Primary Structure Info:

Existing Footprint (SF):

911

Proposed Addition (SF):

446

Removing (SF):

(Type of Structure):

Proposed new primary structure or residence (SF):

Total Square Footage:

1357

Garage and/or Accessory Structure Info (Incl. Decks, Pergolas, etc):

Existing Footprint (SF):

295

New Structure Type:

Proposed Addition (SF):

236

Ridge Height:

18'-9"

Proposed New Structure (SF):

Is there a 2nd floor?

Yes

No

Total of all garage and accessory structures (SF):

531

2nd Floor SF:

Total building lot coverage (SF):

1888

= 34.9 % of lot

Is this replacing an existing garage and/or accessory structure?

Yes

No

Hardscape:

Existing Driveway (SF):

396

Existing Patio (SF):

Existing Private Sidewalk (SF):

Proposed Additional Hardscape (SF):

256

Total Hardscape (SF):

652

Totals:

Total overall lot coverage (SF):

2540

=

47.0

% of lot

Applicant Initial:

AL

Internal Use:

Staff Review Date:

Meets Zoning

ARB Only

Variance or Modifications Needed

Staff Comments:

Staff Initial:

Architectural Review Worksheet

Design changes involving window, siding, roof replacement projects, detached garages, accessory structure, and deck construction may be reviewed and approved by the Design Consultant and/or Zoning officer, if it meets all zoning code requirements and maintenance improvements conforming to the "SPECIFIC STANDARDS" in the Residential Review District Residential Design Guidelines. It may be directed to the Architectural Review Board or Board of Zoning and Planning for review and/or approval, if required by staff. Design approval is required in order to obtain a Building Permit. Work performed prior to an approval is subject to triple fees.

Please provide photos of the existing structure with this form

Please indicate the existing materials and the proposed changes of exterior materials to be used in the completion of your design project. Check all that apply in each category below:

Roofing House or Principal Structure Garage Only House & Garage

Existing Roof Type: Slate Clay Tile Wood Shake Std. 3-tab Asphalt Shingle
 Arch. Dimensional Shingles EPDM Rubber TPO Rubber Metal

New Roof Type: Slate Clay Tile Wood Shake Std. 3-tab Asphalt Shingle
 Arch. Dimensional Shingles EPDM Rubber TPO Rubber Metal

New Shingle Manufacturer:

New Roof Style & Color:

Windows House or Principal Structure Garage Only House & Garage

Existing Window Type: Casement Fixed Exterior Storm Other:
 Double Hung Awning Horizontal Sliding

Existing Window Materials: Aluminum Clad Wood Wood Metal
 Vinyl Clad Wood Aluminum Other:

New Window Manufacturer:

New Window Style/Mat./Color:

Doors House or Principal Structure Garage Only House & Garage

Existing Entrance Door Type: Wood Insulated Metal Fiberglass Sidelights Transom Windows

Existing Garage Door Type: Wood Insulated Metal Fiberglass

Door Finish: Stained Painted

Proposed Door Type: Style: Color:

Exterior Trim

Existing Door Trim: Cedar Redwood Pine Std. Lumber Profile
 Wood Composite Aluminum Clad Molding Vinyl Other:

Proposed New Door Trim:

Existing Window Trim: Wood Redwood Pine Std. Lumber Profile
 Vinyl Other:

Proposed New Window Trim: Trim Color(s):

Do the Proposed Changes Affect the Overhangs? Yes No

Architectural Review Worksheet (Continued)

Exterior Wall Finishes

Existing	Proposed	Type:	Manufacturer, Style, Color:
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Natural Stone	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Cultured Stone	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Brick	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mortar	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Stucco	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wood Shingle	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wood Siding	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Vinyl Siding	Match Existing
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Aluminum Siding	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other	

Staff Confirmation (to be completed by Residential Design Consultant:

Date of Review:

Approved By:

To be reviewed by ARB on:

Conditions/Stipulations:

Staff Initials:

Variance Worksheet

Variance requests will be heard by the Bexley Board of Zoning and Planning. Variances are based upon a legal determination of whether the request meets the variance criteria specified by Bexley City Code.

Variance criteria are outlined below in question format. Please provide your narrative response to the variance questions.

Description of the Proposed Variance

Please provide a thorough description of the variance being sought, and the reason why.

Homeowners want to maintain 60' of the existing access driveway from Cassingham Road.
Code Section 1262.04 (b)

Variance Question 1

Does the property in question require a variance in order to yield a reasonable return? Can there be any beneficial use of the property without the variance? Please describe.

The placement of the existing garage (and subsequent addition) does not allow parking behind the garage for extra cars or guests.

Variance Question 2

Is the variance substantial? Please describe.

No. The driveway is already there. The current driveway & auto court is 1380 sf, and we are only asking to retain 396 sf.

Variance Question 3

Would the essential character of the neighborhood be substantially altered or would adjoining properties suffer a substantial detriment as a result of the variance? Please describe.

No. As stated above, the driveway is already there. We are removing the majority of the paving, and even with the proposed additions to the house & garage, the overall proposed lot coverage is less than it currently exists.

Cassingham allows parking on only one side of the street. So, by keeping additional cars off the street, we are not adding to the overcrowded parking problem.

Variance Worksheet (Continued)

Variance requests will be heard by the Bexley Board of Zoning and Planning. Variances are based upon a legal determination of whether the request meets the variance criteria specified by Bexley City Code.

Variance criteria are outlined below in question format. Please provide your narrative response to the variance questions.

Variance Question 4

Would the variance adversely affect the delivery of governmental services (e.g., water, sewer, garbage)? Please describe.

No.

Variance Question 5

Did the property owner purchase the property with knowledge of the zoning restriction? Please describe.

No.

Variance Question 6

Can the property owner's predicament feasibly be obviated through some method other than a variance? Please describe.

If we redesign the garage to allow for a parking pad next to it, there would be no usable back yard space left.

Variance Question 7

Is the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement observed and is substantial justice done by granting the variance? Please describe.

Yes. This variance is asking to retain something already there. By increasing the overall green space, we think it is a positive change for the neighborhood.