

BZAP-25-21

(BZAP)Board of Zoning & Planning Application - Review of Variance requests for Residential and Commercial Development

Status: Active

Submitted On: 5/5/2025

Primary Location

126 S PARKVIEW AV Bexley, OH 43209

Owner

No owner information

Applicant

Bailey Moore 614-314-3258

baileymoore@greenscapes.net

4220 Winchester Pike Columbus, Ohio 43232

Staff details	
â ARB Mtg Date	
_	05/22/2025
↑ Tabled?	Attend both BZAP & ARB?
_	_
Staff Notes	
Code Section (s)	
A Request	
A.1: Project Information	
Brief Project Description*	
2'-6"sq x $3'$ -0"H Stone Address Marker Column (to match Hous Plaque (126)	e) w/ Brass Lantern (low voltage light) & Brass Address
Architecture Review	Conditional Use
Planned Unit Dev	Rezoning

Variance or Special Permit	Side or rear yard Fence Variance		
Front yard fence variance			
What requires Major Architectural Review @			
W W			
What requires Minor Architectural Review @			
Major Architectural Review	Minor Architectural Review		
major Architectural Review			
Appeal of ARB or Staff Decision to BZAP			
State the specific nature of the Appeal.			
Upcoming BZAP hearing (Hearings held the 4th Thursday of the			
month. Application must be submitted 4 weeks prior to the upcoming meeting date)*			
06/26/2025			
00/20/2023			
All BZAP (Board of Zoning & Planning) applications that a			
review must go to the ARB hearing PRIOR to being heard by BZAP			
Applicant (or representative of the project) must be presentative	ent at the appropriate hearings		
A.1: Attorney / Agent Information			
<u>.</u>			
Agent Name*	Agent Address		
Bailey Moore			
24.050010			
A 15 18	A 181 ×		
Agent Email*	Agent Phone*		
baileymoore@greenscape.net	6143143258		

Property Owner Name*	Property Owner Email			
Front Yard LLC				
Property Owner Address	Property Owner Phone number			
126 S Parkview Avenue				
A.2: Fee Worksheet				
Estimated Valuation of Project*	Minor Architectural Review			
5000				
Major Architectural Review	Variance Review			
Variance Review Type*	Zoning			
Single Family				
Zoning Review Type*	If you selected "other" what zoning review type is the request?*			
Other (Please specify)	Address Columns require variance approval per director of building & zoning			
Sign Review and Architectural Review for Commercial Projects	Review Type			
	-			
Appeal of ARB decision to BZAP	Appeal of BZAP decision to City Council			
Conditional Use - Explain type of Use if being requested and fill out Conditional Use Criteria				
Appeal of Zoning Officer determination to BZAP				
Detailed explanation of appeal				

B: Project Worksheet: Property Information Occupancy Type **Zoning District** Residential R-3 Use Classification @ R-3 (25% Building and 50% Overall) B: Project Worksheet: Lot Info Width (ft) Depth (ft) 100 250 Total Area (SF) 25000 B: Project Worksheet: Primary Structure Info Existing Footprint (SF) Proposed Addition (SF) 2135 Type of Structure Removing (SF) Residence Proposed New Primary Structure or Residence (SF) Total (footprint) square foot of all structures combined B: Project Worksheet: Garage and/or Accessory Structure Info (Incl. Decks, Pergolas, Etc) Existing Footprint (SF) Proposed Addition (SF) 625 Ridge Height **New Structure Type**

Is there a 2nd Floor

Proposed New Structure (SF)

Total of all garage and accessory structures (SF)	Total building lot coverage (SF)		
625	2760		
Total building lot coverage (% of lot)	Is this replacing an existing garage and/or accessory structure?		
11	-		
B: Project Worksheet: Hardscape			
Existing Driveway (SF)	Existing Patio (SF)		
2835	348		
Existing Private Sidewalk (SF)	Proposed Additional Hardscape (SF)		
415	6		
Total Hardscape (SF)			
3598			
B: Project Worksheet: Total Coverage			
Total overall lot coverage (SF)	Total overall lot coverage (% of lot)		
6358	25.43		
C.1 Architectural Review Worksheet: Roofing			
Roofing	Structure		
	_		
Existing Roof Type	New Roof Type		
-	-		
New Single Manufacturer	New Roof Style and Color		
C.1 Architectural Review Worksheet: Windows			
Windows	Structure		
	_		

Existing Window Type	Existing Window Materials		
-	-		
New Window Manufacturer	New Window Style/Mat./Color		
C.1 Architectural Review Worksheet: Doors			
Doors	Structure		
	-		
Existing Entrance Door Type	Existing Garage Door Type		
-	-		
Door Finish	Proposed Door Type		
-			
Proposed Door Style	Proposed Door Color		
C.1 Architectural Review Worksheet: Exterior Trim			
Exterior Trim	Existing Door Trim		
	_		
Proposed New Door Trim	Existing Window Trim		
	-		
Proposed New Window Trim	Trim Color(s)		
Do the proposed changes affect the overhangs?			
_			
C.2 Architectural Review Worksheet: Exterior Wall Finishes			
Exterior Wall Finishes	Existing Finishes		
	- -		

Proposed Finishes
-
By checking the following box I agree (as the applicant of record) to monitor this application and respond to any additional information requested by the Zoning Officer, Design Consultant, and Bldg. Dept Staff, through the email in this application, in order to allow a notice to be written and sent out 2 weeks prior to the next scheduled meeting and to be placed on the Agenda. This includes the ARB meeting when Design Recommendation is needed prior to Board of Zoning and Planning Review. I understand that incomplete applications may be withheld from the agenda or only offered informal review.*
neet
Landscape Architect/Designer
Architect/Designer E-mail
ssion Worksheet
 ■ Design Specifications as required in item 3 in "Review Guidelines and List of Criteria" above

E.1 Variance Worksheet

Description of the Proposed Variance. Please provide a thorough description of the variance being sought and the reason why.*

Variance to install a 2'-6"sq x 3'-0"H Stone Address Marker Column (to match House) w/ Brass Lantern (low voltage light) & Brass Address Plague (126)

1. Does the property in question require a variance in order to yield a reasonable return? Can there be any beneficial use of the property without the variance? Please describe.*

Yes, approval would bring a significant return. The owner has had issues with drivers & deliveries mistakenly entering their property due the address not being as visible from just next to the residence's front door. The address column would also help improve the curb appeal of the property as it will match the residence's natural stone veneer, helping to create a sense of place.

2. Is the variance substantial? Please describe. *

No it is not substantial. It is just a small stone address marker which many neighboring properties also have. Building/zoning dept. are currently reviewing a more standardized application for address marker columns.

3. Would the essential character of the neighborhood be substantially altered or would adjoining properties suffer a substantial detriment as a result of the variance? Please describe. *

No, there would be no detriment to neighboring proerties nor would the chracter of the neighborhood be altered. This stone address marker would only add visual appeal to the streetscape. Many neighboring properties have address marker columns without any detriment to the neighborhood.

E.2 Variance Worksheet

4. Would the variance adversely affect the delivery of governmental services (e.g. water, sewer, garbage)? Please describe.*

No, there would not be any adverse effects to governmental service. The property address would be more clearly defined for governmental services or emergencies, only improving service.

5. Did the property owner purchase the property with the knowledge of zoning restriction? Please describe. *

The owner was unaware that a variance was required for address marker columns because so many of their neighbors have them.

6. Can the property owner's predicament feasibly obviated through some	e method other than a variance? Please describe.*
Until address marker columns are approved through a build owner's situation to be resolved.	ling/zoning application a variance is the only way to allow the
7. Is the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement observed and is	substantial justice done by granting the variance? Please describe. *
	ntial justice is done by granting the variance. There are stone Bexley as a whole, this would only allow the owner to have a
F.3 Fence Variance Worksheet	
Front Yard Restrictions	Fences Adjacent to Commercial Districts
Require Commercial Fences Adjacent to Residential Districts	
F.3 Fence Variance Worksheet: Front Yard Re	strictions
No chain link, wire mesh, concrete block or other similar type material shall be installed as a decorative landscape wall or fence.* Yes	
G. Demolition Worksheet	
Is your property historically significant? Please attached supporting documentation. Recomended sources include ownership records, a letter from the Bexley Historical Society, etc.	Is your property architecturally significant? Please attached supporting documentation. Recomended sources include a letter of opinion from an architect or expert with historical preservation expertise.
_	-
If you answered "yes" to either of the above two questions, please descriprimary residence, and attach any supporting evidence.	be any economic hardship that results from being unable to demolish the

If you answered "yes" to either of the above two questions, please describe any other unusual or compelling circumstances that require the

 $\ demolition \ of \ the \ primary \ residence, \ and \ attach \ any \ supporting \ evidence.$

I will provide a definite plan for reuse of the site, including proposed replacement structures, by completing Worksheets B & C and any other pertinent worksheets, along with required exhibits.

Provide a narrative time schedule for the replacement project

 $Please\ provide\ a\ narrative\ of\ what\ impact\ the\ proposed\ replacement\ project\ will\ have\ on\ the\ subject\ property\ and\ the\ neighborhood.$

Attachments



Site Plan and Vicinity Map of immediate surrounding lots 126 S Parkview Site Plan - Front Landscape Address Marker.pdf Uploaded by Bailey Moore on May 5, 2025 at 1:08 PM

REQUIRED

Record Activity

Bailey Moore started a draft Record	05/05/2025 at 10:32 am
Bailey Moore added file 126 S Parkview Site Plan - Front Landscape Address Marker.pdf	05/05/2025 at 1:08 pm
Bailey Moore submitted Record BZAP-25-21	05/05/2025 at 1:58 pm
OpenGov system altered payment step Payment, changed status from Inactive to Active on Record BZAP-25-21	05/05/2025 at 1:58 pm
OpenGov system completed payment step Payment on Record BZAP-25-21	05/05/2025 at 2:00 pm
OpenGov system altered approval step Application Processing, changed status from Inactive to Active on Record BZAP-25-21	05/05/2025 at 2:00 pm
OpenGov system assigned approval step Application Processing to Colleen Tassone on Record BZAP-25-21	05/05/2025 at 2:00 pm
Colleen Tassone changed form field entry BZAP Mtg Date from "" to "05/22/2025" on Record BZAP-25-21	05/06/2025 at 10:04 am

Timeline

Label	Activated	Completed	Assignee	Due Date	Status
\$ Payment	5/5/2025, 1:58:35 PM	5/5/2025, 2:00:00 PM	Bailey Moore	-	Completed

Label	Activated	Completed	Assignee	Due Date	Status
✓ Application Processing	5/5/2025, 2:00:00 PM	-	Colleen Tassone	-	Active
✓ Zoning Officer	-	-	-	-	Inactive
Design PlanningConsultant	-	-	-	-	Inactive
✓ Architectural Review Board	-	-	-	-	Inactive
✓ Board of Zoning and Planning	-	-	-	-	Inactive