

03/04/2021

BZAP-21-8

*(BZAP)Board of Zoning & Planning Application - Review of Variance requests for Residential and Commercial Development

Status: Active

Date Created: Feb 17, 2021

Applicant	Location
Josh Predovich	489 NORTHVIEW DR
jpredovich@gmail.com	Bexley, OH 43209
994 FRANKLIN AVE	Owner:
COLUMBUS, Ohio 43205	Amy Wanzo & Chris Bell
6142304234	489 Northview Dr. Bexley, OH 43209

A.1: Project Information

Brief Project Description - ALSO PROVIDE 2 HARD COPIES OF PLANS TO THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT.

1 Story 252sf Addition to Existing Single Family Home. Wood Framed Construction, Concrete Foundation Wall, Crawl Space. New Electrical & Plumbing, Extension of Existing HVAC System.

Architecture Review	Conditional Use
Demolition	Planned Unit Dev
Rezoning	Variance or Special Permit
	$\mathbf{\overline{\mathbf{Y}}}$
What requires Major Architectural Review	
What requires Minor Architectural Review	
Major Architectural Review	Minor Architectural Review

ov
Address Tranklin Ave., Columbus OH 42305
Phone 30-4234
rty Owner Email zo@ecdi.org
rty Owner Phone number 96-0128
Architectural Review
ice Review
g
cts
I of ARB decision to BZAP
fill out Conditional Use Criteria
ł

B: Project Worksheet: Property Information

Occupancy Type

Zoning District

/4/2021	OpenGov	
Residential	R-6	
Use Classification		
R-6 (35% Building and 60% Overall)		
B: Project Worksheet: Lot Info		
Width (ft)	Depth (ft)	
50	149	
Total Area (SF) 7,450		
B: Project Worksheet: Primary Structu	ure Info	
Existing Footprint (SF)	Proposed Addition (SF)	
890	257	
Removing (SF)	Type of Structure	
0	Wood framed	
Proposed New Primary Structure or Resid	lence (SF)	
Total (footprint) square foot of all structu 1,147	res combined	
B: Project Worksheet: Garage and/or .	Accessory Structure Info (Incl. Decks, Pergolas, Etc)	
Existing Footprint (SF)	Proposed Addition (SF)	
280	0	
New Structure Type	Ridge Height	
Proposed New Structure (SF)	Is there a 2nd Floor	
Total of all garage and accessory structure 280	es (SF)	
Total building lot coverage (SF) 1,422	Total building lot coverage (% of lot) 19	

Is this replacing an existing garage and	d/or accessory structure?
No	

B: Project Worksheet: Hardscape	
Existing Driveway (SF)	Existing Patio (SF)
180	100
Existing Private Sidewalk (SF)	Proposed Additional Hardscape (SF)
158	100
Total Hardscape (SF) 538	
B: Project Worksheet: Total Coverage	
Total overall lot coverage (SF)	Total overall lot coverage (% of lot)
1,960	27
C.1 Architectural Review Worksheet: Roofing	
Roofing	Structure
	House or Principal Structure
Existing Roof Type	New Roof Type
Arch. Dimensional Shingles	Arch. Dimensional Shingles
New Single Manufacturer	New Roof Style and Color
GAF Timberline	HD2 "Shakewood"
C.1 Architectural Review Worksheet: Windows	
Windows	Structure
☑	House or Principal Structure
Existing Window Type	Existing Window Materials
Double Hung	Wood
New Window Manufacturer	New Window Style/Mat./Color
Andersen	400 Series Wood Window - Colonial "White"

4/2021 C.1 Architectural Review Worksheet: Doors	OpenGov
	Structure
Doors 🗹	House or Principal Structure
Existing Entrance Door Type	Existing Garage Door Type
Wood	
Door Finish	Proposed Door Type
Painted	Andersen
Proposed Door Style	Proposed Door Color
400 Series Colonial	White
Exterior Trim	Existing Door Trim Std. Lumber Profile
Proposed New Door Trim	Existing Window Trim
HardieTrim 4" Fiber cement trim - Smooth	Wood
Proposed New Window Trim	Trim Color(s)
HardieTrim 4" Fiber cement trim - Smooth	Green at windows, doors, overhang - white adjacent to siding.
Do the proposed changes affect the overhangs?	
Do the proposed changes affect the overhangs? Yes	

Exterior Wall FinishesExisting FinishesImage: Control of the second sec

Existing Finishes Manufacturer, Style, Color Wood siding, smooth texture, 6" exposed face, white paint finish.

Proposed Finishes

Other

Proposed Finishes Manufacturer, Style, Color

6" Exposure, Smooth texture, painted white to match existing wood siding

--

Other Proposed Finishes

OpenGov

By checking the following box I agree (as the applicantof record) to monitor this application and respond to any additional information requested by the Zoning Officer, Design Consultant, and Bldg. Dept Staff, through the email in this application, in order to allow a notice to be written and sent out 2 weeks prior to the next scheduled meeting and to be placed on the Agenda. This includes the ARB meeting when Design Recommendation is needed prior to Board of Zoning and Planning Review. I understand that incomplete applications may be withheld from the agenda or only offered informal review.

D: Tree & Public Gardens Commission	Worksheet
Type of Landscape Project Landscape Architect/Designer	
Architect/Designer Phone	Architect/Designer E-mail
Project Description	
I have read and understand the above criter	ria
D: (Staff Only) Tree & Public Gardens C	ommission Worksheet
Design plan with elevations (electronic cop	y as specified in instructions plus 1 hard copy)
Design Specifications as required in item 3	in "Review Guidelines and List of Criteria" above
Applicant has been advised that Landscape	e Designer/Architect must be present at meeting

E.1 Variance Worksheet

Description of the Proposed Variance. Please provide a thorough description of the variance being sought and the reason why.

Request variance from requirement for 50' wide corner lot to have a 20' side yard set back to allow for a 13' side yard setback to match the exterior wall of the existing house.

1. Does the property in question require a variance in order to yield a reasonable return? Can there be any beneficial use of the property without the variance? Please describe.

The variance will allow for the enlargement of the existing kitchen and addition of a dining room. The kitchen is located in the southeast corner of the house inline with the existing exterior wall.

2. Is the variance substantial? Please describe.

No. The addition is one story, 257sf and only impacts the neighbor to the south.

3. Would the essential character of the neighborhood be substantially altered or would adjoining properties suffer a substantial detriment as a result of the variance? Please describe.

No. Goal of the addition is appear as part of the original construction by matching detailing, trim, siding and line of the existing footprint of the house.

E.2 Variance Worksheet

4. Would the variance adversely affect the delivery of governmental services (e.g. water, sewer, garbage)? Please describe.

No.

5. Did the property owner purchase the property with the knowledge of zoning restriction? Please describe.

The owners were not aware of the zoning restrict at the time of purchase or that the relationship of the existing house to the lot line did not meet the current side yard zoning code.

6. Can the property owner's predicament feasibly obviated through some method other than a variance? Please describe.

The addition could be pushed 7' to the north in order to meet the current side yard setback. This change would draw attention as an addition to the house, increase cost of addition requiring long utility runs and make the kitchen and interior spaces less useful impacting the value of the house.

7. Is the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement observed and is substantial justice done by granting the variance? Please describe.

We believe that the character of the existing house will be better served by granting the variance and that the impact to the surrounding neighbors is slight and as such is within the spirit of the zoning requirements.

F.1 Fence Variance Worksheet

Lot Type

Narrative description of how you plan to meet the pertinent outlined variance criteria

--

- -

F.1-F.2 Fence Variance Worksheet: Side and Rear Yard Restrictions for Corner Lots

1. Compatibility: Describe how the proposed side yard fence or wall exceeding forty-eight inches in height and on the street side of a corner lot compatible with other properties in the neighborhood?

3/4/2021

OpenGov

2. Height: Please verify that the maximum height of such fence or wall shall not exceed seventy-two inches as measured from the average grade, as defined in Section 1230.06. Artificially raising the height of the lot line by the use of mounding, retaining walls or similar means shall be included within the seventy-two inch maximum height.

3. Transparency: Fences exceeding forty-eight inches in height should include transparency in the upper 12" to 18" of the fence through the use of latticework, pickets, or other appropriate design elements. Describe how you have satisfied this requirement.

--

4. Screening: A landscaping plan must be filed with the application for a special permit, indicating how such fencing or wall is to be screened from the street side elevation. The landscape plan should be designed in such a way as to mitigate the impact of a solid fence or wall as it relates to the street and other properties. Describe how the landscape plan addresses these items.

--

_ _

5. Visibility and Safety: The installation of such fence or wall shall not create a visibility or safety concern for vehicular and/or pedestrian movement. Please describe any visibility/safety concerns with your design.

6. Material Compatibility: No chain link, wire mesh or other similar material shall be installed on lot lines adjacent to public rights-of-way. Please verify that your design complies with this requirement.

7. Finished Side: Any fence or wall erected on a lot located at the intersection of two or more streets must have the finished and not the structural side facing the adjacent property, alley or street. Please verify that your design complies with this requirement.

 \Box

F.3 Fence Variance Workshee

Front Yard Restrictions

Fences Adjacent to Commercial Districts

Require Commercial	Fences Adjacent to	o Residential	Districts

 \Box

 \Box

F.3 Fence Variance Worksheet: Front Yard Restrictions

The proposed decorative landscape wall or fence is compatible with other properties in the neighborhood.

--

The height of the fence or wall does not exceed the size permitted as above when measured from the average grade of the yard where the fence or wall is to be installed. Artificially raising the height of the lot line by the use of mounding, retaining walls or similar means shall be included in the maximum height.

Posts, columns and finials may extend up to 6" above the maximum allowed height of the fence panels. CHAPTER 1264. FENCES AND WALLS City of Bexley Zoning Ordinance

--

- -

A landscaping plan shall be filed with the application indicating how such fencing and/ or wall is to be integrated with existing front yard landscaping.

The installation of such fence and/or wall shall not create a visibility or safety concern for vehicular and/or pedestrian movement.

No chain link, wire mesh, concrete block or other similar type material shall be installed as a decorative landscape wall or fence.

The fence and/or wall shall have a minimum of 50% transparency.

That the lot exhibits unique characteristics that support the increase in fence height.

G. Demolition Worksheet

Is your property historically significant? Please attached supporting documentation. Recomended sources include ownership records, a letter from the Bexley Historical Society, etc.

--

Is your property architecturally significant? Please attached supporting documentation. Recomended sources include a letter of opinion from an architect or expert with historical preservation expertise.

If you answered "yes" to either of the above two questions, please describe any economic hardship that results from being unable to demolish the primary residence, and attach any supporting evidence.

If you answered "yes" to either of the above two questions, please describe any other unusual or compelling circumstances that require the demolition of the primary residence, and attach any supporting evidence.

--

I will provide a definite plan for reuse of the site, including proposed replacement structures, by completing Worksheets B & C and any other pertinent worksheets, along with required exhibits.

Provide a narrative time schedule for the replacement project

Please provide a narrative of what impact the proposed replacement project will have on the subject property and the neighborhood.

Attachments



History

Date	Activity
Feb 17 2021 3:23 pm	Josh Predovich started a draft of Record BZAP-21-8
Feb 17 2021 3:59 pm	Josh Predovich submitted Record BZAP-21-8
Feb 17 2021 3:59 pm	approval step Zoning Officer was assigned to Kathy Rose on Record BZAP-21-8
Feb 17 2021 4:00 pm	completed payment step Payment on Record BZAP-21-8