

BZAP-25-23

(BZAP)Board of Zoning & Planning Application - Review of Variance requests for Residential and Commercial Development

Status: Active

Submitted On: 5/14/2025

Primary Location

112 N PARKVIEW AV Bexley, OH 43209

Owner

Dave Hannallah & Kristin Foley North Parkview Ave. 112 Bexley, Ohio 43209

Applicant

Amy Lauerhass 614-371-3523

@ amy@lauerhassarchitecture.com

753 Francis Ave. Bexley, Ohio 43209

BZAP Mtg Date
06/26/2025
Attend both BZAP & ARB?
-
age.
Conditional Use
Rezoning
6.1. I.E. W.
Side or rear yard Fence Variance

Front yard fence variance	
What requires Major Architectural Review ②	
·	
Addition	
What requires Minor Architectural Review @	
Major Architectural Review	Minor Architectural Review
\checkmark	
Appeal of ARB or Staff Decision to BZAP	
State the specific nature of the Appeal.	
Upcoming ARB Hearing Date (Hearings held the 2nd Thursday of the month. Application must be submitted 4 weeks prior to the upcoming	Upcoming BZAP hearing (Hearings held the 4th Thursday of the month. Application must be submitted 4 weeks prior to the upcoming
meeting date)*	meeting date)*
06/12/2025	06/26/2025
All BZAP (Board of Zoning & Planning) applications that a	lso require ARB (Architectural Review Board) design
review must go to the ARB hearing PRIOR to being heard I	
Applicant (or representative of the project) must be prese	nt at the appropriate hearings
A 1 AU (A) LL 6 (B)	
A.1: Attorney / Agent Information	
Agent Name*	Agent Address
Amy Lauerhass	753 Francis Ave. Bexley, Ohio 43209
Any Lauernass	, 33 Francis Ave. Bealey, Offic 43203
Acout Email*	Agent Phone*
Agent Email*	Agent Phone*
amy@lauerhassarchitecture.com	614-371-3523

Property Owner Name* Property Owner Email	
Dave Hannallah & Kristin Foley	hannallah@gmail.com
Property Owner Address	Property Owner Phone number
112 North Parkview Ave.	614-204-1058
A.2: Fee Worksheet	
Estimated Valuation of Project*	Minor Architectural Review
75000	
Major Architectural Review	Variance Review
Variance Review Type*	Zoning
Single Family	
Zoning Review Type*	Sign Review and Architectural Review for Commercial Projects
encroaching into required setback	
Review Type	Appeal of ARB decision to BZAP
_	
Appeal of BZAP decision to City Council	
Conditional Use - Explain type of Use if being requested and fill out Condition	nal Use Criteria
Appeal of Zoning Officer determination to BZAP	
Detailed explanation of appeal	

B: Project Worksheet: Property Information Occupancy Type **Zoning District** Residential R-2 Use Classification @ R-2 (25% Building and 50% Overall) B: Project Worksheet: Lot Info Width (ft) Depth (ft) 100 200 Total Area (SF) 20000 B: Project Worksheet: Primary Structure Info Existing Footprint (SF) Proposed Addition (SF) 3260 190 Type of Structure Removing (SF) Proposed New Primary Structure or Residence (SF) Total (footprint) square foot of all structures combined 3450 B: Project Worksheet: Garage and/or Accessory Structure Info (Incl. Decks, Pergolas, Etc) Existing Footprint (SF) Proposed Addition (SF) **New Structure Type** Ridge Height

Is there a 2nd Floor

Proposed New Structure (SF)

Total of all garage and accessory structures (SF)	Total building lot coverage (SF)
-	3450
Total building lot coverage (% of lot)	Is this replacing an existing garage and/or accessory structure?
17.2	-
B: Project Worksheet: Hardscape	
Existing Driveway (SF)	Existing Patio (SF)
1740	1565
Existing Private Sidewalk (SF)	Proposed Additional Hardscape (SF)
600	_
Total Hardscape (SF)	
3905	
B: Project Worksheet: Total Coverage	
Total overall lot coverage (SF)	Total overall lot coverage (% of lot)
7355	36.8
C.1 Architectural Review Worksheet: Roofing	
Roofing	Structure
	House & Garage
Existing Roof Type	New Roof Type
Arch. Dimensional Shingles	Arch. Dimensional Shingles
New Single Manufacturer	New Roof Style and Color
To Match Existing	To Match Existing
C.1 Architectural Review Worksheet: Windows	5
Windows	Structure
	-

Existing Window Type	Existing Window Materials
-	-
New Window Manufacturer	New Window Style/Mat./Color
C.1 Architectural Review Worksh	neet: Doors
Doors	Structure
✓	House & Garage
Existing Entrance Door Type	Existing Garage Door Type
Wood	Wood
Door Finish	Proposed Door Type
Painted	Entry + Overhead
Proposed Door Style	Proposed Door Color
See elevations	Dark brown to match existing
C.1 Architectural Review Worksh	neet: Exterior Trim
Exterior Trim	Existing Door Trim
✓	Std. Lumber Profile
Proposed New Door Trim	Existing Window Trim
Fiber Cement	Std. Lumber Profile
Proposed New Window Trim	Trim Color(s)
Fiber Cement	Dark brown to match existing
Do the proposed changes affect the overhangs?	
No	

C.2 Architectural Review Worksheet: Exterior Wall Finishes

Exterior Wall Finishes Existing Finishes

✓ Stucco

Existing Finishes Manufacturer, Style, Color	Proposed Finishes		
	Stucco		
Proposed Finishes Manufacturer, Style, Color Match existing color & texture	By checking the following box I agree (as the applicant of record) to monitor this application and respond to any additional information requested by the Zoning Officer, Design Consultant, and Bldg. Dept Staff, through the email in this application, in order to allow a notice to be written and sent out 2 weeks prior to the next scheduled meeting and to be placed on the Agenda. This includes the ARB meeting when Design Recommendation is needed prior to Board of Zoning and Planning Review. I understand that incomplete applications may be withheld from the agenda or only offered informal review.*		
D: Tree & Public Gardens Commission Worksh	eet		
Type of Landscape Project —	Landscape Architect/Designer		
Architect/Designer Phone	Architect/Designer E-mail		
Project Description			
I have read and understand the above criteria			
D: (Staff Only) Tree & Public Gardens Commis	sion Worksheet		
 ■ Design plan with elevations (electronic copy as specified in instructions plus 1 hard copy) 	■ Design Specifications as required in item 3 in "Review Guidelines and List of Criteria" above		
 ▲ Applicant has been advised that Landscape Designer/Architect must be present at meeting 			

E.1 Variance Worksheet

Description of the Proposed Variance. Please provide a thorough description of the variance being sought and the reason why.*
Encroachment of 4'-7" into existing 15'-0" side yard setback on north side; encroachment of 29'-1" into 93'-0" front yard setback on west side.
1. Does the property in question require a variance in order to yield a reasonable return? Can there be any beneficial use of the property without the variance? Please describe. *
This is a very odd lot configuration, which places about 40% of the existing house in front of the front setback line. The current attached garage is very small. There is no feasbile way to add to it without a variance, and there is no option for a detached garage.
2. Is the variance substantial? Please describe. *
No. The proposed encroachment on the north side is less than the existing encroachment on the south side. Also, the front walls of the addition step back from the original house, to keep the addition secondary.
3. Would the essential character of the neighborhood be substantially altered or would adjoining properties suffer a substantial detriment as a result of the variance? Please describe. *
No. We believe the addition is an improvement to what is there now, as the second garage bay was not well incorporated into the aesthetics of the home.
E.2 Variance Worksheet
4. Would the variance adversely affect the delivery of governmental services (e.g. water, sewer, garbage)? Please describe.*
No.
5. Did the property owner purchase the property with the knowledge of zoning restriction? Please describe. *
No.
6. Can the property owner's predicament feasibly obviated through some method other than a variance? Please describe.*

No. Can't add anywhere near garage without variance.

7. Is the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement observed and is substantial justice done by granting the variance? Please describe. *			
Absolutely. This is an unusual placement of a house on a lot, and an unsual lot.			
F.3 Fence Variance Worksheet			
Front Yard Restrictions	Fences Adjacent to Commercial Districts		
Require Commercial Fences Adjacent to Residential Districts			
F.3 Fence Variance Worksheet: Front Yard Res	strictions		
No chain link, wire mesh, concrete block or other similar type material shall be installed as a decorative landscape wall or fence.*			
No			
G. Demolition Worksheet			
Is your property historically significant? Please attached supporting documentation. Recomended sources include ownership records, a letter from the Bexley Historical Society, etc.	Is your property architecturally significant? Please attached supporting documentation. Recomended sources include a letter of opinion from an architect or expert with historical preservation expertise.		
_	_		
If you answered "yes" to either of the above two questions, please describ primary residence, and attach any supporting evidence.	e any economic hardship that results from being unable to demolish the		
If you answered "yes" to either of the above two questions, please describ demolition of the primary residence, and attach any supporting evidence.			
I will provide a definite plan for reuse of the site, including proposed replacement structures, by completing Worksheets B & C and any other pertinent worksheets, along with required exhibits.			

Please provide a narrative of what impact the proposed replacement project will have on the subject property and the neighborhood.

Attachments



Architectural Plan

112 N.Parkview SITE.pdf

Uploaded by Amy Lauerhass on May 14, 2025 at 4:42 PM



Exterior Elevations

112 N.Parkview ELEV.pdf

Uploaded by Amy Lauerhass on May 14, 2025 at 4:42 PM



Floor Plan

112 N.Parkview PLANS.pdf

Uploaded by Amy Lauerhass on May 14, 2025 at 4:43 PM



Photographs

112 N.Parkview PHOTO.pdf

Uploaded by Amy Lauerhass on May 14, 2025 at 4:43 PM



Site Plan and Vicinity Map of immediate surrounding lots

REQUIRED

112 N.Parkview VIC MAP.pdf

Uploaded by Amy Lauerhass on May 14, 2025 at 4:44 PM



112 N. Parkview OWN PERM.pdf

112 N. Parkview OWN PERM.pdf

Uploaded by Amy Lauerhass on May 14, 2025 at 4:44 PM



112 N.Parkview SITE.pdf

112 N.Parkview SITE.pdf

Uploaded by Amy Lauerhass on May 14, 2025 at $4:51\,\mathrm{PM}$

Record Activity

Amy Lauerhass started a draft Record	05/14/2025 at 4:17 pm
Amy Lauerhass added file 112 N.Parkview PLANS.pdf	05/14/2025 at 4:42 pm
Amy Lauerhass added file 112 N.Parkview ELEV.pdf	05/14/2025 at 4:42 pm
Amy Lauerhass removed file 112 N.Parkview PLANS.pdf	05/14/2025 at 4:42 pm
Amy Lauerhass added file 112 N.Parkview SITE.pdf	05/14/2025 at 4:42 pm
Amy Lauerhass added file 112 N.Parkview PLANS.pdf	05/14/2025 at 4:43 pm

Amy Lauerhass added file 112 N.Parkview PHOTO.pdf	05/14/2025 at 4:43 pm
Any Eddonidos added ine il 2 m. divitori i no rospai	
Amy Lauerhass added file 112 N.Parkview VIC MAP.pdf	05/14/2025 at 4:44 pm
Amy Lauerhass added file 112 N. Parkview OWN PERM.pdf	05/14/2025 at 4:44 pm
Amy Lauerhass submitted Record BZAP-25-23	05/14/2025 at 4:44 pm
OpenGov system altered payment step Payment, changed status from Inactive to Active on Record BZAP-25-23	05/14/2025 at 4:44 pm
OpenGov system completed payment step Payment on Record BZAP-25-23	05/14/2025 at 4:45 pm
OpenGov system altered approval step Application Processing, changed status from Inactive to Active on Record BZAP-25-23	05/14/2025 at 4:45 pm
OpenGov system assigned approval step Application Processing to Colleen Tassone on Record BZAP-25-23	05/14/2025 at 4:45 pm
Amy Lauerhass added file 112 N.Parkview SITE.pdf to Record BZAP-25-23	05/14/2025 at 4:51 pm

Timeline

Label	Activated	Completed	Assignee	Due Date	Status
\$ Payment	5/14/2025, 4:44:57 PM	5/14/2025, 4:45:39 PM	Amy Lauerhass	-	Completed
✓ Application Processing	5/14/2025, 4:45:40 PM	-	Colleen Tassone	-	Active
✓ Zoning Officer	-	-	-	-	Inactive
✓ Design Planning Consultant	-	-	-	-	Inactive
✓ Architectural Review Board	-	-	-	-	Inactive
Board of Zoning and Planning	-	-	-	-	Inactive