

BZAP-25-17

(BZAP)Board of **Zoning & Planning** Application - Review of Variance requests for Residential and Commercial Development

Status: Active

Submitted On: 4/10/2025

Primary Location

51 S DAWSON AV Bexley, 0H 43209

Owner

Ben and Allison Pierson S. Dawson 51 Bexley, Ohio 43209

Applicant

Gary Alexander



3 614-487-0637



@ gary@garyjalexanderarchitect.com



1265 Neil Avenue

Columbus, Ohio 43201

A.1: Project Information

Brief Project Description*

The project includes two additions at the rear of the structure, a covered patio and mudroom. Also included is an expansion of the current study at the side of the home.

Architecture Review	Conditional Use
✓	
Planned Unit Dev	Rezoning
Variance or Special Permit	Side or rear yard Fence Variance
Front yard fence variance	

What requires Major Architectural Review ②	
The additions.	
What requires Minor Architectural Review 🕜	
Major Architectural Review	Minor Architectural Review
Appeal of ARB or Staff Decision to BZAP	
State the specific nature of the Appeal.	
Upcoming ARB Hearing Date (Hearings held the 2nd Thursday of the month. Application must be submitted 4 weeks prior to the upcoming meeting date)*	Upcoming BZAP hearing (Hearings held the 4th Thursday of the month. Application must be submitted 4 weeks prior to the upcoming meeting date)*
05/08/2025	05/22/2025
All BZAP (Board of Zoning & Planning) applications that also require ARB (Architectural Review Board) design review must go to the ARB hearing PRIOR to being heard by BZAP	
Applicant (or representative of the project) must be present at the appropriate hearings	

A.1: Attorney / Agent Information

	_
Gary J. Alexander, Architect	1265 Neil Ave.
Agent Email*	Agent Phone*
gary@garyjalexanderarchitect.com	614 487-0637
Property Owner Name*	Property Owner Email
Benjamin and Allison Pierson	pierson.ben@gmail.com
Property Owner Address	Property Owner Phone number
51 S. Dawson Ave, Bexley, Ohio 43209	614 447-3474
A.2: Fee Worksheet	
Estimated Valuation of Project*	Minor Architectural Review
200000	
Major Architectural Review	Variance Review
	Variance Review ✓
Major Architectural Review	_
	_
Variance Review Type*	
Variance Review Type*	
Variance Review Type* Single Family	Zoning

Review Type	Appeal of ARB decision to BZAP
_	
Appeal of BZAP decision to City Council	
Conditional Use - Explain type of Use if being requested	Land fill out Conditional Use Criteria
Conditional Coo Explain type of Coo in Boing requested	and in our conditional cas criteria
Appeal of Zoning Officer determination to BZAP	
Detailed explanation of appeal	
·	
B: Project Worksheet: Property In	formation
Occupancy Type	Zoning District
Residential	R-6
Use Classification ②	
R-6 (35% Building and 60% Overall)	
B: Project Worksheet: Lot Info	
D. I TOJECT WOLKSHEET. LOT IIIIO	
	5 m.
Width (ft)	Depth (ft)
60	233.5

B: Project Worksheet: Primary Structure Info

Existing Footprint (SF) Proposed Addition (SF)

1558 770

Removing (SF)

Type of Structure

0 mudroom, study and covered patio

additions

Proposed New Primary Structure or Residence (SF)

Total (footprint) square foot of all structures

combined

2904

B: Project Worksheet: Garage and/or Accessory Structure Info (Incl. Decks, Pergolas, Etc)

Existing Footprint (SF) Proposed Addition (SF)

576 0

New Structure Type Ridge Height

0

Proposed New Structure (SF) Is there a 2nd Floor

O No

Total of all garage and accessory structures (SF) Total building lot coverage (SF) 576 2904 Total building lot coverage (% of lot) Is this replacing an existing garage and/or accessory structure? 21 No B: Project Worksheet: Hardscape Existing Driveway (SF) Existing Patio (SF) 1918 452 **Existing Private Sidewalk (SF)** Proposed Additional Hardscape (SF) 104 Total Hardscape (SF) 1897 B: Project Worksheet: Total Coverage Total overall lot coverage (SF) Total overall lot coverage (% of lot) 4801 35 C.1 Architectural Review Worksheet: Roofing

Structure

House or Principal Structure

Roofing

/

Existing Roof Type New Roof Type

Arch. Dimensional Shingles Arch. Dimensional Shingles

New Single Manufacturer New Roof Style and Color

match existing match existing

C.1 Architectural Review Worksheet: Windows

Windows Structure

✓ House or Principal Structure

Existing Window Type Existing Window Materials

Casement Aluminum Clad Wood

New Window Manufacturer New Window Style/Mat./Color

Marvin casement, white matching existing

C.1 Architectural Review Worksheet: Doors

Doors Structure

✓ House or Principal Structure

Existing Entrance Door Type Existing Garage Door Type

Wood -

Door Finish Proposed Door Type

Painted

Proposed Door Style

Proposed Door Color

french

white

C.1 Architectural Review Worksheet: Exterior Trim

Exterior Trim Existing Door Trim

Std. Lumber Profile

Proposed New Door Trim Existing Window Trim

match original Std. Lumber Profile

Proposed New Window Trim Trim Color(s)

match original white

Do the proposed changes affect the overhangs?

No

C.2 Architectural Review Worksheet: Exterior Wall Finishes

Exterior Wall Finishes Existing Finishes

✓ Wood Shingle

Existing Finishes Manufacturer, Style, Color Proposed Finishes

painted Wood Shingle

Proposed Finishes Manufacturer, Style, Color match existing

By checking the following box I agree (as the applicant of record) to monitor this application and respond to any additional information requested by the Zoning Officer, Design Consultant, and Bldg.

Dept Staff, through the email in this application, in order to allow a notice to be written and sent out 2 weeks prior to the next scheduled meeting and to be placed on the Agenda. This includes the ARB meeting when Design Recommendation is needed prior to Board of Zoning and Planning Review. I understand that incomplete applications may be withheld from the agenda or only offered informal review.*



D: Tree & Public Gardens Commission Worksheet

Lype of Landscape Project	Landscape Architect/Designer
Architect/Designer Phone	Architect/Designer E-mail
Project Description	
I have read and understand the above criteria	

E.1 Variance Worksheet

Description of the Proposed Variance. Please provide a thorough description of the variance being sought and the reason why.*
The addition to the study is encroaching 4" into the side yard setback. The chimney of the covered patio is extending 10 " into the setback.
1. Does the property in question require a variance in order to yield a reasonable return? Can there be any beneficial use of the property without the variance? Please describe. *
To logically add to the study the new wall needs to align with the existing. The existing wall encroaches so the aligned wall will also encroach into the setback.
2. Is the variance substantial? Please describe. *
No. Both seem minor.
3. Would the essential character of the neighborhood be substantially altered or would adjoining properties suffer a substantial detriment as a result of the variance? Please describe. *
No.

E.2 Variance Worksheet

- 4. Would the variance adversely affect the delivery of governmental services (e.g. water, sewer, garbage)? Please describe.*
 - No. There remains substantial clearance of the side of the property.

5. Did the property owner purchase the property with the	e knowledge of zoning restriction? Please describe. *
No.	
6. Can the property owner's predicament feasibly obvia Please describe.*	ted through some method other than a variance?
These additions can be created without the v	variances however they seem very minor.
7. Is the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement observed and is substantial justice done by granting the variance? Please describe. *	
As indicated above, the existing study is non-conforming since it projects into the setback. The logical means of adding to this room is to align the walls.	
F.3 Fence Variance Worksheet	
Front Yard Restrictions	Fences Adjacent to Commercial Districts
Require Commercial Fences Adjacent to Residential Districts	

F.3 Fence Variance Worksheet: Front Yard Restrictions

No chain link, wire mesh, concrete block or other
similar type material shall be installed as a
decorative landscape wall or fence.*

No

G. Demolition Worksheet

Is your property historically significant? Please attached supporting documentation. Recomended sources include ownership records, a letter from the Bexley Historical Society, etc.

Is your property architecturally significant? Please attached supporting documentation. Recomended sources include a letter of opinion from an architect or expert with historical preservation expertise.

If you answered "yes" to either of the above two questions, please describe any economic hardship that results from being unable to demolish the primary residence, and attach any supporting evidence.

If you answered "yes" to either of the above two questions, please describe any other unusual or compelling circumstances that require the demolition of the primary residence, and attach any supporting evidence.

I will provide a definite plan for reuse of the site, including proposed replacement structures, by completing Worksheets B & C and any other pertinent worksheets, along with required exhibits.

Please provide a narrative of what impact the proposed replacement project will have on the subject property and the neighborhood.